#### Toeplitz determinants related to a discrete Painlevé II hierarchy

Sofia Tarricone

IRMP, Université Catholique de Louvain-la-Neuve

#### UCLouvain-KULeuven Classical Analysis Seminar

UCLouvain, 18 October 2022

Based on a joint work with Thomas Chouteau (soon on the ArXiv!)



- Backgroud on the case N = 1
- Motivation for the case N > 1

OPUC: Riemann–Hilbert approach

Alternative Lax pair for dPII hierarchy 3



#### The object of our study For any N > 1, we consider the symbol

$$\varphi^{(N)}[\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_N](z) \coloneqq \varphi(z) = e^{w(z)},$$

with

$$w(z) \coloneqq v(z) + v(z^{-1})$$
 and  $v(z) \coloneqq \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\theta_j}{j} z^j, \ \theta_j \in \mathbb{R}, \ z \in S^1.$ 

We focus on the study of the Toeplitz determinants

$$D_n \coloneqq \det(T_n(\varphi))$$

with  $T_n(\varphi)$  being the *n*-th Toeplitz matrix associated to the symbol  $\varphi(z)$ 

$$T_n(\varphi)_{i,j} \coloneqq \varphi_{i-j}, \quad i,j=0,\ldots,n$$

where for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\varphi_k$  is the *k*-th Fourier coefficient of  $\varphi(z)$ , namely

$$\varphi_k = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-ik\theta} \varphi(e^{i\theta}) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}$$
, so that  $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi_k z^k = \varphi(z)$ .

#### Outline



#### Introduction

- Backgroud on the case N = 1
- Motivation for the case N > 1

#### From random permutations...

Consider the set of permutations  $S_M$  with uniform distribution, so that for any  $\pi_M \in S_M$  we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\pi_M) = \frac{1}{M!}$$

and denote  $\ell(\pi_M)$  the length of the longest increasing sub-sequence of  $\pi_M$ .

**Example**  $\pi_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\ell(\pi_5) = 3$ .

#### Ulam problem (1961)

Describe the behavior of  $\ell(\pi_M)$  for  $M \to \infty$ .

Theorem (Baik–Deift–Johansson (1999))

$$\lim_{M\to\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\ell(\pi_M)-2\sqrt{M}}{M^{1/6}}\leq t\right)=F(t), \quad \text{with } F(t):=\det\left(1-\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{Ai}}|_{(t,\infty)}\right).$$

#### ...to random partitions

Theorem (Robinson–Schensted correspondence)

$$\textit{RS}: \pi_{\textit{M}} \ni \textit{S}_{\textit{M}} \rightarrow \textit{RS}(\pi_{\textit{M}}) \in \{(\textit{P},\textit{Q}) \in \textit{SYT}_{\textit{M}} \times \textit{SYT}_{\textit{M}}, \textit{sh}(\textit{P}) = \textit{sh}(\textit{Q})\}$$

is a bijection.

**Example** Consider the permutation  $\pi_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 4 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$ . The RS correspondence associate the pair  $RS(\pi_5) = (P_5, Q_5)$ 

$$\emptyset, \emptyset \to \underbrace{4}, \underbrace{1} \to \underbrace{3}_{4}, \underbrace{1}_{2} \to \underbrace{4}_{4}, \underbrace{3}_{3} \to \underbrace{4}_{4}, \underbrace{3}_{3} \to \underbrace{4}_{4}, \underbrace{3}_{3} \to \underbrace{4}_{4}, \underbrace{1}_{2} = \underbrace{1}_{4} \underbrace{4}_{3} \underbrace{1}_{2} \underbrace{1}_{4} \underbrace{4}_{3} \underbrace{1}_{2} \underbrace{1}_{3} \underbrace{4}_{3}, \underbrace{3}_{4} \to \underbrace{4}_{4}, \underbrace{3}_{3} \to \underbrace{4}_{4}, \underbrace{1}_{2} \to \underbrace{4}_{4}, \underbrace{1}_{3} \to \emptyset, \emptyset.$$

$$x_{5} = 5 \qquad x_{4} = 2 \qquad x_{3} = 1 \qquad x_{2} = 3 \qquad x_{1} = 4 \implies SR(P_{5}Q_{5}) = \pi_{5}$$

#### The Schensted theorem

In particular, if  $\lambda(\pi_M) = (\lambda_1(\pi_M) \ge \lambda_2(\pi_M)...)$  is the partition coinciding with sh(P) = sh(Q) in the above correspondence, the Schensted theorem (1961) says

$$\ell(\pi_M) = \lambda_1(\pi_M).$$

**Example** For  $\pi_5$  we had  $\lambda(\pi_5) = (3 \ge 1 \ge 1)$ .

Moreover, the uniform distribution on  $S_M$  pushes forward through RS onto the set  $\mathcal{Y}_M$  of all partitions of M inducing on it the *Plancherel* measure

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{PI.}}(\lambda) = \frac{F_{\lambda}^2}{M!}, \text{ with } F_{\lambda} = \#\{P \in \mathsf{SYT}_M, \mathsf{sh}(P) = \lambda\}.$$

In this sense

$$\mathbb{P}(\ell(\pi_M) \leq n) = \mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{Pl.}}(\lambda_1(\pi_M) \leq n).$$

7/29

# Poissonized Plancherel measure and Gessel's theorem

On the set of all partitions of any size  $\mathcal{Y} = \bigcup_M \mathcal{Y}_M$  one can consider a *Poissonized* version of the Plancherel measure

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{P.Pl.}}(\lambda) = \mathrm{e}^{-\theta^2} \left( \frac{\theta^{|\lambda|} F_{\lambda}}{|\lambda|!} \right)^2, \text{ where } |\lambda| = \mathsf{weight}(\lambda).$$

Theorem (Gessel's formula)

$$\mathbb{P}_{P.PL}(\lambda_1 \leq n) = e^{-\theta^2} D_{n-1}(\varphi)$$

where  $\varphi = \varphi^{(1)} \left[ \theta_1 = \theta \right] (z)$ .

**Remark 1** The result of B–D–J was obtained after the study of asymptotic behavior of these Toeplitz determinants using the RH approach for OPUC and de Poissonization procedure.

**Remark 2** This quantity has also a Fredholm determinant representation in terms of a discrete version of the Bessel kernel  $\rightarrow$  Giulio's talk!

#### Borodin's formula

Theorem (Many authors)

For every  $n \ge 1$  we have

$$\frac{D_n D_{n-2}}{D_{n-1}^2} = 1 - x_n^2$$

where  $x_n$  solves the so called discrete Painlevé II equation, which corresponds to the second order nonlinear difference equation

$$\theta(x_{n+1} + x_{n-1})(1 - x_n^2) + nx_n = 0$$

with initial conditions  $x_0 = -1, x_1 = \varphi_1/\varphi_0$ .

**Remark 1** This result as stated above was proved in different ways from different authors Borodin, Baik, Adler–Van Moerbeke more or less at the same time (2001).

**Remark 2** Similar discrete equations appeared previously in Periwal-Schewitz (1990) in the study of some unitary matrix integrals.

・ロット (四) ・ (日) ・ (日)

#### The continuous limit

Recall the B–D–J result in this context:  $\lim_{\theta\to\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\lambda_1-2\theta}{\theta^{1/3}} \leq t\right) = F(t)$ . Thus by performing the following scaling

$$n = t\theta^{1/3} + 2\theta \iff t = (n - 2\theta)\theta^{-1/3}$$

in the formula for the Toeplitz determinants and take the limit for  $heta
ightarrow\infty$ 

$$\frac{D_n D_{n-2}}{D_{n-1}^2} - 1 = -x_n^2, \qquad x_{n+1} + x_{n-1} = -\frac{nx_n}{\theta(1 - x_n^2)} \\
\xrightarrow{\text{B-D-J}} x_n = (-1)^n \theta^{-1/3} u(t) \qquad \qquad \downarrow x_n = (-1)^n \theta^{-1/3} u(t) \\
\frac{\partial_t^2 \log F(t) = -u^2(t), \qquad u''(t) = 2u^3(t) + tu(t) \\
\xrightarrow{\text{Painlevé II equation}}$$

which recovers the Tracy-Widom formula (1994) for GUE.

#### Outline



• Motivation for the case *N* > 1

2) OPUC: Riemann-Hilbert approach

3 Alternative Lax pair for dPII hierarchy

Final remarks

#### Multicritical random partitions

(Okunkov, 2001) Consider now on the set of all partitions the Schur measures

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{Sc.}}(\lambda) = Z^{-1} s_{\lambda} \left[\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{N}\right]^{2},$$

where  $s_{\lambda}$  can be computed as

$$s_{\lambda}\left[\theta_{1},\ldots,\theta_{N}\right] = \det_{i,j} h_{\lambda_{i}-i+j}\left[\theta_{1},\ldots,\theta_{N}\right], \text{ with } \sum_{k\geq 0} h_{k}z^{k} = e^{\nu(z)}, \text{ and } Z = e^{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\theta_{i}^{2}}{i}}$$

**Remark** For N = 1 with  $\mathbb{P}_{P.PL}(\lambda) = \mathbb{P}_{Sc.}(\lambda)$  with  $\theta_1 = \theta$ .

Theorem (Betea–Bouttier–Walsh (2021))

Let 
$$\theta_i = (-1)^{i+1} \frac{(N-1)!(N+1)!}{(N-i)!(N+i)!} \theta = (-1)^{i+1} \hat{\theta}_i$$
. Then

$$\lim_{\theta \to \infty} \mathbb{P}_{Sc.}\left(\frac{\lambda_1 - b\theta}{(\theta d)^{\frac{1}{2N+1}}} < t\right) = F_N(t), \quad \text{with} \quad F_N(t) = \det(1 - \mathcal{K}_{\operatorname{Ai}_{2N+1}}|_{(t,\infty)})$$

where  $b = \frac{N+1}{N}, d = \binom{2N}{N-1}^{-1}$ .

#### Higher order Tracy-Widom formula

Theorem (Cafasso–Claeys–Girotti (2019)) For every  $N \ge 1$  $\partial_t^2 \log F_N(t) = -u^2((-1)^{N+1}t)$ 

where  $u((-1)^{N+1}t)$  is a particular solution of the N-th equation of the Painlevé II hierarchy.

For N = 1, 2, 3 this means that u(t) solves the following ODEs

$$N = 1: \qquad u'' - 2u^3 = tu,$$

$$N = 2: \qquad u'''' - 10u(u')^2 - 10u^2u'' + 6u^5 = -tu,$$

$$N = 3: \qquad u''''' - 14u^2u''' - 56uu'u''' - 70(u')^2u'' - 42u(u'')^2 + 70u^4u'' + 140u^3(u')^2 - 20u^7 = tu$$

where ' denotes the derivative w.r.t. t.

#### What is left to complete the picture



#### The family of OPUC of interest

We consider the measure for  $z = e^{i\alpha} \in S^1$  given by

$$\mathrm{d}\mu(\alpha) = \varphi(\mathrm{e}^{i\alpha}) \frac{\mathrm{d}\alpha}{2\pi} = \mathrm{e}^{w(\mathrm{e}^{i\alpha})} \frac{\mathrm{d}\alpha}{2\pi}.$$

The family  $\{p_n(z)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  of orthogonal polynomials on the unitary circle (OPUC) w.r.t. the measure is given by

$$p_n(z) = \kappa_n z^n + \ldots \kappa_0, \ \kappa_n > 0$$

such that the following relation holds for any index k, h

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \overline{p_k(e^{i\alpha})} p_h(e^{i\alpha}) \frac{\mathrm{d}\mu(\alpha)}{2\pi} = \delta_{k,h}.$$

The analogue monic orthogonal polynomials  $\pi_n(z)$  are  $p_n(z) = \kappa_n \pi_n(z)$ .

#### Relation with the Toeplitz determinants

A very well known formula of  $p_n(z)$  in terms of the Toeplitz determinants  $D_n$  gives

$$p_n(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{D_n D_{n-1}}} \det \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_0 & \varphi_{-1} & \cdots & \varphi_{-n+1} & \varphi_{-n} \\ \varphi_1 & \varphi_0 & \cdots & \varphi_{-n+2} & \varphi_{-n+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \varphi_{n-1} & \varphi_{n-2} & \cdots & \varphi_0 & \varphi_{-1} \\ 1 & z & \cdots & z^{n-1} & z^n \end{pmatrix}, \quad n \ge 1,$$

from which in particular one deduces that the leading coefficient of  $p_n(z)$  is related to the ratio of consecutive Toeplitz determinants as

$$\frac{D_{n-1}}{D_n} = \kappa_n^2$$

#### R–H problem associated to OPUC

For any fixed  $n \ge 0$ , the function  $Y(z) := Y(z, n; \theta_i) : \mathbb{C} \to GL(2, \mathbb{C})$  has the following properties

- (1) Y(z) is analytic for every  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus S^1$ ;
- (2) Y(z) has continuous boundary values  $Y_{\pm}(z)$  are related for all  $z \in S^1$  through

$$Y_{+}(z) = Y_{-}(z)J_{Y}(z), \text{ with } J_{Y}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z^{-n}e^{w(z)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix};$$

(3) Y(z) is normalized at  $\infty$  as

$$Y(z) \sim \left(I + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{Y_j(n, heta_i)}{z^j}\right) z^{n\sigma_3}, \ z o \infty,$$

where  $\sigma_3$  denotes the Pauli's matrix  $\sigma_3 \coloneqq \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

#### Solution of this R–H problem

#### Theorem (Baik–Deift–Johansson (1999))

The R–H problem admits a unique solution Y(z) written as

$$Y(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \pi_n(z) & \mathcal{C}\left(y^{-n}\pi_n(y)e^{w(y)}\right)(z) \\ -\kappa_{n-1}^2\pi_{n-1}^*(z) & -\kappa_{n-1}^2\mathcal{C}\left(y^{-n}\pi_{n-1}^*(y)e^{w(y)}\right)(z) \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\pi_{n-1}^*(z)$  is defined as the polynomial of the same degree of  $\pi_{n-1}(z)$ such that  $\pi_{n-1}^*(z) \coloneqq z^n \overline{\pi_{n-1}(\overline{z}^{-1})}$ . and (Cf(y))(z) is the Cauchy transform of f

$$\left(\mathcal{C}f(y)\right)(z) \coloneqq \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{S}^1} \frac{f(y)}{y-z} \mathrm{d}y.$$

*Moreover*,  $det(Y(z)) \equiv 1$ .

**Remark** This is an extension of the R–H approach to orthogonal polynomials on the real line formulated by Fokas–Its–Kitaev (1991).

(日)

#### Important symmetry property

For every fixed  $n \ge 0$ , the unique solution Y(z) of the R–H problem satisfies

$$Y(z) = \sigma_3 Y(0)^{-1} Y(z^{-1}) z^{n\sigma_3} \sigma_3,$$

where the matrix  $Y(0) = Y(0, n; \theta_i)$  is given for any  $n \ge 1$  by

$$Y(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{n}; \theta_i) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_n & \kappa_n^{-2} \\ -\kappa_{n-1}^2 & \mathbf{x}_n \end{pmatrix},$$

with  $x_n := \pi_n(0)$  and  $\kappa_n$  is the leading coefficient of  $p_n(z)$ .

**Remark** Since det  $Y(0, n; \theta_i) = 1$  for every  $n \ge 1$  we have

$$\frac{\kappa_{n-1}^2}{\kappa_n^2} = 1 - x_n^2$$

Corollary (Formula for the Toeplitz determinants) For every  $n \ge 1$  we have  $\frac{D_{n-2}D_n}{D_{n-1}^2} = 1 - x_n^2$ .

## Transformation of the R–H problem

Now the function

$$\Psi(z,n;\theta_i) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \kappa_n^{-2} \end{pmatrix} Y(z,n;\theta_j) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & z^n \end{pmatrix} e^{w(z)\frac{\sigma_3}{2}}$$

satisfies the following properties :

- (1)  $\Psi(z)$  is analytic for every  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{S^1 \cup \{0\}\};$
- (2)  $\Psi(z)$  has continuous boundary values  $\Psi_{\pm}(z)$  related for all  $z \in S^1$  by

$$\Psi_+(z) = \Psi_-(z)J_0, \quad J_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(3)  $\Psi(z)$  has asymptotic behavior near 0 given by

$$\Psi(z) \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \kappa_n^{-2} \end{pmatrix} Y(0) \left( I + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} z^j \widetilde{Y}_j(n) \right) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & z^n \end{pmatrix} e^{w(z)\frac{\sigma_3}{2}}, \quad z \to 0.$$

(4)  $\Psi(z)$  has asymptotic behavior near  $\infty$  given by

$$\Psi(z)\sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & \kappa_n^{-2} \end{pmatrix} \left(I+\sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{Y_j(n)}{z^j}\right) \begin{pmatrix} z^n & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathrm{e}^{w(z)\frac{\sigma_3}{2}}, \quad |z|\to\infty.$$

#### System of equations for $\Psi$

#### Proposition

$$\Psi(z, n+1) = U(z, n)\Psi(z, n), \quad \partial_z \Psi(z, n) = T(z, n)\Psi(z, n)$$

with

T

$$U(z,n) := \begin{pmatrix} z + x_n x_{n+1} & -x_{n+1} \\ -(1 - x_{n+1}^2) x_n & 1 - x_{n+1}^2 \end{pmatrix} = \sigma_+ z + U_0(n),$$
  
$$T(z,n) := T_1(n) z^{N-1} + T_2(n) z^{N-2} + \dots + T_{2N+1}(n) z^{-N-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{2N+1} T_k z^{N-k},$$
  
where  $T_1(n) = \frac{\theta_N}{2} \sigma_3.$ 

**Remark** The symmetry property of Y(z) gives in turn for T(z, n) that

$$T(z^{-1}, n) = -z^{2} \left( K(n)T(z, n)K(n)^{-1} - nz^{-1}I_{2} \right)$$
  
with  $K(n) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \kappa_{n}^{-2} \end{pmatrix} Y(0, n)\sigma_{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \kappa_{n}^{2} \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $T_{j}(n) = -K(n)T_{2N+2-j}(n)K(n)^{-1}$ ,  
for  $j = 1, ..., N$  and  
which in terms of  $T_{j}(n)$  reads as  $\longrightarrow$   
$$T_{N+1}(n) = -K(n)T_{N+1}(n)K(n)^{-1} + nI_{2}.$$

### Exploiting the compatibility condition

The compatibility condition of the system for  $\Psi$  gives

$$\sigma_+ = T(n+1,z)U(n,z) - U(n,z)T(n,z)$$

with T(n, z) satisfying the prescribed symmetry

looking at the coefficients of each power  $z^k$  for  $k = N, \ldots, -1$ 

#### we find

- A system of discrete (in *n*) equations for  $T_k^{ij}(n), i, j \in \{1, 2\}$  for k = 1, ..., N + 1 that determines all of them in terms of  $x_{n\pm j}, j = -N, ..., N$  recursively (on *k*) starting from the initial condition for  $T_1(n)$ .
- Plugging the form obtained for the last coefficient  $T_{N+1}(n)$  into the equation for  $T_{N+1}(n)$  given from the symmetry, a nonlinear 2N order discrete equation for  $x_n$  which is the N-th equation of the discrete Painlevé II hierarchy.

#### The case N = 1

The Lax matrix T(z, n) results as follows

$$T(n,z) = \frac{\theta_1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{z} \begin{pmatrix} n & -\theta_1 x_{n+1} \\ -\theta_1 v_n x_{n-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\theta_1}{z^2} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} - x_n^2 & x_n \\ v_n x_n & x_n^2 - \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $v_n = 1 - x_n^2$  and (as already known from Borodin's formula) we have that  $x_n$  satisfies the discrete Painlevé II equation

$$x_{n+1} + x_{n-1} = \frac{nx_n}{\theta_1(x_n^2 - 1)}.$$

**Remark** The Lax pair obtained here however is different from the one obtained by Borodin.

#### The case N = 2

The Lax matrix T(z, n) results as follows

$$\begin{split} T(z,n) &= z \frac{\theta_2}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\theta_1}{2} & -\theta_2 x_{n+1} \\ -\theta_2 x_{n-1} v_n & -\frac{\theta_1}{2} \end{pmatrix} + \\ & \frac{1}{z} \begin{pmatrix} n - \theta_2 x_{n-1} x_{n+1} v_n & -\theta_1 x_{n+1} - \theta_2 (v_{n+1} x_{n+2} - x_n x_{n+1}^2) \\ (-\theta_1 x_{n-1} - \theta_2 (v_{n-1} x_{n-2} - x_n x_{n-1}^2)) v_n & \theta_2 x_{n-1} x_{n+1} v_n \end{pmatrix} \\ & + \frac{1}{z^2} \begin{pmatrix} -\theta_2 v_n (x_n x_{n-1} + x_n x_{n+1}) + \frac{\theta_1}{2} (v_n - x_n^2) & -\theta_2 (v_n x_{n-1} + x_n^2 x_{n+1}) \\ -\theta_2 (v_n x_{n+1} + x_n^2 x_{n-1}) v_n & \theta_2 v_n (x_n x_{n-1} + x_n x_{n+1}) - \frac{\theta_1}{2} (v_n - x_n^2) \end{pmatrix} \\ & + \frac{\theta_2}{z^3} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} - x_n^2 & x_n \\ v_n x_n & x_n^2 - \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

and this time  $x_n$  satisfies the 4th order nonlinear discrete equation

$$nx_{n} + \theta_{1}v_{n}(x_{n+1} + x_{n-1}) + \theta_{2}v_{n}\left(x_{n+2}v_{n+1} + x_{n-2}v_{n-1} - x_{n}(x_{n+1} + x_{n-1})^{2}\right) = 0,$$

which is known as the second member of the discrete Painlevé II hiearchy.

#### Final statement

#### Theorem (T. – Chouteau)

For any fixed  $N \ge 1$ , for the Toeplitz determinants  $D_n$ ,  $n \ge 1$ , we have

$$\frac{D_n D_{n-2}}{D_{n-1}^2} = 1 - x_n^2$$

where now  $x_n$  solves the 2N order nonlinear difference equation

$$nx_n + \left(v_n + v_n \textit{Perm}_n - 2x_n \Delta^{-1} \left(x_n - (\Delta + I)x_n \textit{Perm}_n
ight)
ight) L^N(0) = 0$$

where L is a discrete recursion operator that acts as follows

$$L(u_n) := (x_{n+1} (2\Delta^{-1} + I) ((\Delta + I) x_n Perm_n - x_n) + v_{n+1} (\Delta + I) - x_n x_{n+1}) u_n$$

and  $L(0) = \theta_N x_{n+1}$ . Here  $v_n := 1 - x_n^2$ ,  $\Delta$  denotes the difference operator  $\Delta : u_n \to u_{n+1} - u_n$  and Perm<sub>n</sub> is the transformation

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \textit{Perm}_n : & \mathbb{C}\left[(x_j)_{j \in [[0,2n]]}\right] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}\left[(x_j)_{j \in [[0,2n]]}\right] \\ & P\left((x_{n+j})_{-n \leqslant j \leqslant n}\right) & \longmapsto & P\left((x_{n-j})_{-n \leqslant j \leqslant n}\right). \end{array}$$

#### Connection with the Cresswell–Joshi Lax pair

Cresswell and Joshi (1998) introduced the discrete Painlevé II hierarchy as the compatibility condition of the system

$$\Phi(z, n+1) = L(z, n)\Phi(z, n)$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\Phi(z, n) = M(z, n)\Phi(z, n)$$

where  $L(z, n) := \begin{pmatrix} z & x_n \\ x_n & 1/z \end{pmatrix}$  and  $M(z, n) := \begin{pmatrix} A_n(z) & B_n(z) \\ C_n(z) & -A_n(z) \end{pmatrix}$  with  $A_n, B_n$  and  $C_n$  written in integer powers of z (from  $z^N$  to  $z^{-N}$ ).

#### Proposition (T.–Chouteau)

The C-J Lax pair and the one found in our work are related through

$$\Phi(z,n) := \sigma_3 \begin{pmatrix} z^{-n+3/2} & 0 \\ 0 & z^{-n+1/2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -x_{n-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \Psi(z^2,n-1).$$

#### The other continuous limits

Recall the B–B–W result for N = 2: it says  $\lim_{\theta \to \infty} \mathbb{P}_{Sc.}\left(\frac{\lambda_1 - \frac{3}{2}\theta}{(4^{-1}\theta)^{1/5}} \le t\right) = F_2(t)$ . Thus by performing the following scaling

$$n = t \left(\frac{\theta}{4}\right)^{1/5} + \frac{3}{2}\theta \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad t = \left(n - \frac{3}{2}\theta\right)\theta^{-\frac{1}{5}}4^{\frac{1}{5}}.$$

in the formula for the Toeplitz determinants and taking the limit for  $heta
ightarrow\infty$ 

which recovers the result from Cafasso–Claeys–Girotti for N = 2. **Remark** For N = 3 it works as well by taking  $x_n = (-1)^n \left(\frac{\theta}{15}\right)^{-1/7} u(t)$ , with  $t = (n - \frac{3}{4}\theta) \theta^{-1/7} 15^{1/7}$  and  $\theta_1 = \theta, \theta_2 = \frac{2\theta}{5}, \theta_3 = \frac{\theta}{15}$ .

#### **Open problems**

- Give a formal proof for any *N* that the *N*-th equation of the discrete Painlevé II hierarchy converges to the *N*-th equation of the classical Painlevé II hierarchy.
- Understand at the level of the difference between the recursion operators used here and the ones used in Cresswell–Joshi.

# Thank you!

э

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト